



This presentation may contain materials created by others. Such material is used under a claim of fair use pursuant to the Fair Use Guidelines for the purpose of engaging in face-to-face instructional education activities.

Additional use or distribution of that material is prohibited.





# **ER 3.8**

The prosecutor in a criminal case shall:

(d) make timely disclosure to the defense of all evidence or information known to the prosecutor that tends to negate the guilt of the accused or mitigates the offense, and, in connection with sentencing, disclose to the defense and to the tribunal all unprivileged mitigating information known to the prosecutor, except when the prosecutor is relieved of this responsibility by a protective order of the tribunal



# ER 3.8(d) Comment 3

... a prosecutor may seek an appropriate protective order from the tribunal if disclosure of information to the defense could result in substantial harm to an individual or to the public interest.



#### Ethical Duties Under Rule 3.8(d)

- Prosecutors must disclose
  - Some non-admissible evidence
  - Some unreliable evidence
  - Evidence and information that might lead to a more lenient sentence
  - Evidence that would assist with defenses that defense counsel has not raised or thought of





# Mitigating Material & Information

#### ■ Shall make available

 "All then existing material or information which tends to mitigate or negate the defendant's guilt as to the offense charged, or which would tend to reduce the defendant's punishment therefore."

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.1(b)(8)



# State's Duty to Disclose

Any material evidence which would tend to reduce or negate the defendant's guilt *must* be disclosed.

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).



# Favorable Evidence Must be **Disclosed**

The prosecution is duty bound to disclose evidence favorable to the defense whether or not defendant requests it.

State v. Altman, 107 Ariz. 93 (1971).



### State's Automatic Duty to Disclose

- Rule 15.1(b) must make available if in our possession or control
  - names, addresses, written/recorded statements of witnesses intend to call;
     any statements made by the defendant
     law enforcement reports

  - experts and their results;
  - list of evidence to be used at trial

  - defendant's prior felony convictions or bad acts intend to use
     any information which tends to negate the defendant's guilt, or would reduce the defendant's punishment
  - wire taps
  - search warrants
  - · Informants who will testify



# **Discovery Deadlines**

- Superior court 30 days after arraignment
- Limited Jurisdiction Courts at the first pretrial conference

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.1(c)



# **Initial Felony Disclosure**

- At arraignment or preliminary hearing
  - Must make available law enforcement reports & examining expert's names, addresses & completed results

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.1(a)



# Felony Priors Must Disclose:

- List of prior felony convictions of State's witnesses
- List of prior felony convictions intend to use for impeachment
  - Deadlines (felony 30 days before trial or after defense request; misdemeanor 10 days before trial)

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.1(d)



# Only if Defendant Requests (Within 30 days)

- 15.1(e) Make available for examination, testing & reproduction
  - Evidence listed in initial discovery 15.1(b)(5)
  - Existing case 911 calls
  - "Completed written reports, statements and examination notes made by experts"

May impose reasonable conditions



# But see, State v. Roque, 213 Ariz. 193 (2006)

Rule 15.1 (a)(3) applies even if expert did not write down results of tests and examinations if results are known to the state.

10 1 2 9 3 8 7 6 5 4	

# Court Ordered Additional Disclosure

- Defendant must show
  - Substantial need
  - **Unable** without undue hardship to obtain substantial equivalent

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.1(g); State v. Tankersley, 191 Ariz. 359 (1998); State v. Kevil, 111 Ariz. 240 (1974). .

 Rule also considers whether request is "unreasonable or oppressive."



# Not Subject to Disclosure

- Work product
  - prosecutor
  - legal or investigative staff
  - law enforcement
- Informants who will not be called
  - If risk to informant's life or effectiveness & will not infringe constitutional rights

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.4(b)



# Prosecutor's Obligation Extends to Material & Info in the Possession or Control of:

- prosecutor
- prosecutor's staff
- law enforcement
  - who participated in the investigation &
  - under the prosecutor's direction or control
- any person
  - who participated in the investigation/evaluation &
- under the prosecutor's direction or control

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.1(f)



#### Not Under the State's Control

 Material in the possession of the victim - even if the victim cooperates with prosecution.

State v. Kevil, 111 Ariz. 240 (1974); 2003 Rule 15.1 comment.

 A witness does not become the agent of the prosecutor merely by cooperating with the police.

State v. Piper, 113 Ariz. 390 (1976).



#### Not Under the State's Control

Just because prosecution is in a better position to obtain a witness's cooperation does not mean that the witness is under the State's control.

State v. Bernnini (Daughters-White, RPI), 220 Ariz. 536 (App. 2009).

_			
_			



### Not Under the State's Control

Generally don't have to obtain & disclose information & material held by federal agencies.

State v. Briggs, 112 Ariz. 379 (1975).



# Defense Disclosure Requirements

- Defense must provide
  - written notice of all defenses
  - names, addresses, & statements of defense witnesses;
  - names, addresses & results of expert's examination & tests
  - list of all evidence to be used at trial.

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.2(b) & (c)



# Upon Written Request (within 30 days)

- Defense Shall make available:
  - Papers, documents, photos, & objects to be used at trial
  - Expert's completed written reports, statements & examination notes

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.2(e)



# At <u>any time</u> upon written request the defendant <u>shall</u>:

- Appear in a line-up
- Speak for ID by a witness
- Be finger, palm or voice printed
- Pose for Photos
- Try on clothing
- Permit the taking of hair, blood, etc. samples
- Provide handwriting samples

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.2(a)



# At <u>any time</u> upon written request the defendant <u>shall</u>:

- ★Submit to a reasonable, physical or medical inspection of his or her body
  - HGN
  - Temperature
  - Tattoos
  - Scars
  - Etc.

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.2(a)(8)



# Continuing Duty to Disclose

- Each side is required to supplement discovery as new information becomes known.
  - Tox results
  - EMS reports
  - DNA
  - Psychological testing
  - medical records
  - 911 tapes
  - photographs, audio or videotapes
  - victim impact statements & restitution requests

16A ARS *Rules of Crim. Proc.*, Rule 15.6

Be aware of what is coming in – or should be



# **Final Deadline**

- Unless otherwise permitted:
  - Must be completed 7 days before trial

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.6(c)



## **Use of Materials**

All materials furnished under rule 15 "shall not be disclosed to the public but only to others to the extent necessary for the proper conduct of the case."

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.4(d).

(comments – "discovery materials are to be considered confidential records.")



# What if Defendant Fails to Disclose?

- The State's continuing duty to disclose ceases
- Except for Brady material

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.7(c)





# Also Remember

- Make Use of the Comments to the Crim. Proc. Rules
- Victim's Rights





# **Compel/Sanctions Motions**

- Must comply with the Rules of Criminal Procedure
  - Must be in writing. *Crim. Proc.*, Rule 35.1.
  - Must be timely. *Crim. Proc.*, Rule 16.1(b).



# **Evaluate**

Is it something we were automatically required to disclose?

*Crim. Proc.*, Rule 15.1(a) & (b); ER 3.8; *Brady* 



# **Evaluate**

Is evidence/material in State's control?

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.1(f)

Would it be burdensome to comply with the request?

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.1(g)



## **Evaluate**

- Did the defense demonstrate:
  - Substantial need
  - Unable without undue hardship to obtain substantial equivalent

Crim. Proc. Rule 15.1(g)

- Is it available elsewhere or on-line?
- Does defense already have it?
- Do they have other & adequate means of challenging state's evidence?



#### **On-line Disclosure**

- http://phoenix.gov/AGENCY/PH XPROS/blooddisclo\_toc.pdf
- http://phoenix.gov/phxpros.html.



# **Evaluate**

Is evidence/material work product?

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.4(b)

- Is it privileged or confidential?
- Do we need a protective order?

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.5, ER 3.8(d)



# **Evaluate**

- Do we need to redact the items to be disclosed?
- Is this a fishing expedition?



# **Evaluate**

- Do we need to redact the items to be disclosed?
- Is this a fishing expedition?



# **Motion for Sanctions**

- Court may not consider or set for hearing unless provided a separate statement certifying:
  - Personal consultation &
  - Good faith efforts to resolve the matter

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.7(b)

Local Rules - Mohave CR-8; Pinal County 2.3 (must confer & include statement)

Remember if you request sanctions



### **Motions for Sanctions**

Parties must make good faith efforts to resolve discovery disputes.

If court imposes a sanction (such as a continuance) a party my not simply decline it hoping court will substitute a more stringent one.

Roque, supra.



### **Discovery Sanctions**

- The court shall order disclosure & may:
  - grant a continuance
  - hold a party in contempt
  - preclude or limit a witness's testimony, use of evidence or argument
  - declare a mistrial to prevent a miscarriage of justice
  - Dismiss with or w/o prejudice
  - Impose costs of continuance

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.7(a)



## **Discovery Sanctions**

- The court shall order a sanction unless:
  - failure to comply was harmless
  - the info. could not have been disclosed earlier with due diligence & was disclosed immediately upon its discovery

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.7(a)



### Court "shall" take into account:

- Significance of information
- Impact on party & victim
- Stage of the proceedings

Crim. Proc., Rule 15.7(a)



#### **Court Should Consider**

- Reasons for late disclosure
- How vital evidence is to the proponent's case
- Prejudice to opposing party
- Bad faith or willfulness
- Feasibility of rectifying the prejudice with a continuance.

State v. Roque, 213 Ariz. 193 (2006).



#### **Court Should Consider**

- Importance of witness or evidence
- Level of surprise
- Bad faith

State v. Payne, supra.



#### **Sanctions**

All sanctions must be "proportional to the violation and must have 'a minimal effect on the evidence and the merits."

State v. Payne, 314 P.3d 1239 (2013).



# State v. Payne

- Court's preclusion of defense psychologist upheld when:
  - Defense did not disclose Dr. report
  - State discovered it after trial had started
  - 2 weeks later & less than 2 days before penalty phase, defense disclosed Dr. as witness



# Case Law for Responses

17



Discovery in criminal cases is much more limited than in civil cases.

State v. Towery, 186 Ariz. 168 (1996).

Mere fishing expeditions are not to be countenanced!

State ex rel. Corbin v. Superior Court, 105 Ariz. 465 (1968); State v. Wallace, 97 Ariz. 296 (1965).



# **Fishing Expeditions**

Defendant's request for all police reports and other info. Regarding any disturbances at an apartment building was mere conjecture and a "fishing expedition."

State v. Hatton, 116 Ariz. 142 (1977).

See, State v. Berstein (Herman, RPI), No. 1 CA-SA 12-0226 (unpublished).



# Disclosure of Scientific Evidence

Rule 15.1(b)(4) only requires the state to disclose <u>completed</u> tests or examinations.

Sanctioning for the state's failure to disclose incomplete test results was an abuse of discretion.

State v. ex rel. Thomas (Milagro, RPI), 221 Ariz. 112 (App. 2009); State v. Simon, 229 Ariz. 60 (App. 2012).



#### Source Code

Trial Court abused its discretion by ordering the State to obtain & produce source code for Intoxilyzer 8,000.

State v. Bernnini (Daughters-White, RPI), 220 Ariz. 536 (App. 2009); & Daughters-White II, 222 Ariz. at 610.

A.R.S. 28-1323(C)



# Source Code A.R.S. 28-1323(C)

Inability to obtain schematics or software for breath test instrument does not preclude admission of test results.

See also, State v. Lindner, 227 Ariz. 69 (App. 2010).



#### **Great Case!**

 Trial court's order to allow defense to observe & record crime lab's operations was an abuse of discretion

State v. Fields (Rosengren, RPI), 196 Ariz. 580 (App. 1990).



# **Fields**

"Information is not discoverable unless it could lead to admissible evidence or would be admissible itself." 196 Ariz. at 582.



### **Fields**

#### Defense failed to demonstrate:

- The test results in question are inaccurate
- how or if the lab deficiencies affected their test results
- an indication of admissible evidence expect to find



# **Fields**

"Without showing need or concerns regarding accuracy "the motion to compel "can only be viewed as "fishing expedition," which the rules do not permit." 196 Ariz. at 583.



